

FASULO, SHALLEY & DIMAGGIO L.L.P.  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

LOUIS V. FASULO \*  
MARGARET M. SHALLEY  
CHARLES Di MAGGIO  
\* ADMITTED NJ

Of COUNSEL  
DOUGLAS KAHAN

February 19, 2009

**VIA ECF & HAND DELIVERY**

Honorable Richard M. Berman  
United States District Court  
Southern District of New York  
500 Pearl Street  
New York, New York 10007

Supplemental Sentencing Memorandum

**Re:     *United States v. Diego Fernando Murillo-Bejarano*  
03 CR 1188 (RMB)**

Dear Judge Berman:

I represent Diego Fernando Murillo-Bejarano (hereinafter referred to as "Murillo-Bejarano,") in the above referenced matter. Sentencing is scheduled to take place on February 24, 2009. The defendant's sentencing memorandum was previously submitted on February 2, 2009. This letter is respectfully submitted in response to the Government's Sentencing Memorandum, dated February 9, 2009 (hereinafter referred to as "Government Memo"), in order to aid in the determination of the appropriate sentence which serves the interests of justice and the sentencing purposes set forth in Title 18 U.S.C. §3553(a) in accord with *United States v. Booker*, and *United States v. Fanfan*, 543 U.S. 220, 160 L.Ed.2d 621, 125 S. Ct. 738 (U.S. Sup. Ct. 2005).

**Mr. Murillo-Bejarano's Role Within the AUC**

The Government argues that Mr. Murillo-Bejarano should be sentenced to 405 months' imprisonment, the top of the advisory United States Sentencing Guidelines range. As outlined extensively in the defendant's sentencing memorandum, Mr. Murillo-Bejarano was a leader of the AUC, holding the title of Inspector General. Fidel and Carlos Castano founded the AUC. In 1994, Fidel was killed and Carlos assumed leadership of the Organization until he was killed in 2004, at which time his brother Vicente became leader. In addition to his role as Inspector General, the defendant was

the commander of three Bloques within the AUC: Heroes de Tolova, Heroes de Granada, and Cacique Nutribara. The defendant and all the commanders of the AUC used money obtained from narcotics trafficking to finance their military and political operations. A “tax” on a percentage of cocaine moved through their Bloques was paid to the Bloque commander.

The defendant was responsible for collecting taxes on cocaine in the Bloques that he controlled; but he was not in charge of all narcotics trafficking activities, including all cocaine transportation and financial operations for the AUC. Each Bloque commander was responsible for his individual Bloque. A percentage of all monies that Murillo-Bejarano collected from the taxes on cocaine within the Bloques under his command, was forwarded to the Castano brothers to run the AUC. The taxes on the cocaine were used as a revenue stream to fund the military and political objectives of the AUC. Accordingly, the defendant respectfully submits that a sentence at the highest end of the sentencing Guidelines range is not warranted, pursuant to the factors contained in Title 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Notably, Mr. Murillo-Bejarano’s Plea Agreement, which was negotiated by prior counsel, already includes a four-level enhancement, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3B1.1(a), for an organizer or leadership role in criminal activity that involved five or more participants or was otherwise extensive. Showing extraordinary acceptance of responsibility, one of the Bloques that Murillo-Bejarano was in charge of, the Cacique Nutribara Bloque, was the first Bloque to voluntarily demobilize as part of the Justice and Peace Process, on November 25, 2003. Murillo-Bejarano also voluntarily surrendered approximately 2,500 to 3,000 arms to the Colombian authorities used by the AUC and he has given tremendous support and financing to the Justice and Peace Process. He has continued his involvement in the peace process and continues to turn over properties to the process even though he has been extradited to the United States.

#### Mr. Murillo-Bejarano’s Incarceration in Colombia Merits a Reduction in Sentence

The conditions of Mr. Murillo-Bejarano’s confinement warrant a sentence at the low end of the advisory Guidelines range. Mr. Murillo-Bejarano stands by his factual assertions regarding the deplorable prison conditions that he experienced while confined at Combita, Itagui, and La Picota prisons in Colombia. Attached hereto as Exhibit “1,” is a sworn affidavit from Mr. Murillo-Bejarano, which outlines the conditions that he experienced while imprisoned in Colombia for approximately three (3) years prior to his extradition.<sup>1</sup> While the Government proffers information in the form of unsworn statements from Special Agent Caesar Medina of the United States Drug Enforcement Administration, regarding the prison conditions at Combita, the defendant respectfully submits that while Agent Medina may have visited Combita on 5 to 6 occasions, between 2004 and 2007, during the time that he was stationed at the DEA’s Bogotá Country

---

<sup>1</sup> After reviewing the facts again with Mr. Murillo-Bejarano in order to prepare the defendant’s affidavit and consulting with the defendant’s counsel in Colombia, counsel learned that the dates that Mr. Murillo-Bejarano was housed at the Colombian prisons of Combita, Itagui and La Picota, are slightly different than those outlined in the defendant’s original sentencing submission. The actual dates of the defendant’s incarceration are contained in the attached affidavit of Mr. Murillo-Bejarano.

Office, Agent Medina did not spend extensive amounts of time behind the walls of Combita, and he certainly did not experience what it was like to be housed as an inmate. As outlined in the defendant's sentencing memorandum, a 2006 report on human rights practices by the United States Department of State, indicates that most of Colombia's prisons are plagued by "overcrowding, insecurity, corruption, and an insufficient budget," and that at Combita Prison in particular, "lack of money to pay sanitation fees led to water rationing." Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights and Labor (hereinafter referred to as "BDHRL"), U.S. Dep't of State, Colombia Country Reports on Human Rights Practices-2006 (2007), <http://www.state.gov/g/drl/rls/hrpt/2006/78885.htm> (last visited January 20, 2009). Moreover, the BDHRL cites to a report by the Inspector General's Office on Combita Prison, which found "violations of health standards, such as lack of potable water and a proliferation of insects and rodents," and described frequent outbreaks of deadly violence and rioting throughout the Colombian prison system.

In *United States v. Carty*, 264 F.3d 191, 196 (2d Cir. 2001), the Second Circuit clearly recognized that "pre-sentence confinement conditions may in appropriate cases be a permissible basis for downward departure." Several Southern District Court judges including the Honorable Robert P. Patterson, Jr., and the Honorable Lawrence M. McKenna, have granted departures based upon substandard pre-sentence confinement conditions. See *United States v. Francis*, 129 F. Supp. 612 (S.D.N.Y. 2001); *United States v. Torres*, 2005 WL 2087818, 01 Cr. 1078 (LMM) S.D.N.Y. Aug. 30, 2005). While the Government argues that upon remand in *Carty*, Judge Schwartz rejected *Carty*'s motion for a downward departure based upon substandard prison conditions in the Dominican Republic, notably, *Carty* was decided prior to the Supreme Court's decision in *United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220 (2005), at a time when the Sentencing Guidelines were still mandatory, rather than advisory. Moreover, in looking at the totality of the circumstances, the District Court in *Carty* considered the fact that *Carty* fled to and remained in the Dominican Republic in order to avoid his sentencing in the United States. *Carty*, 264 F.3d at 195. Here, Mr. Murillo-Bejarano voluntarily surrendered to the substandard conditions of the Colombian prison system for three (3) years prior to his extradition to the United States. Accordingly, the conditions of Mr. Murillo-Bejarano's pre-sentence confinement in Colombia are a proper factor for the Court to consider in determining the appropriate sentence for the defendant. The defendant's account of the harsh conditions he experienced while incarcerated in Colombia, taken together with the United States Department of State report on human rights in Colombia, provide sufficient grounds for a sentence at the low end of the advisory guideline range pursuant to Title 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).<sup>2</sup> The government also opposes defendant's request that his sentence be reduced in order to credit him for the three years he served in Colombia pending extradition and correctly states that only the Bureau of Prisons ("BOP") is entitled to give credit for time served prior to the commencement of a sentence. Having just received additional documentation from the defendant's Colombian attorneys, counsel agrees that any computation of credit should be done by the BOP because it appears that some time may be credited to domestic charges in Colombia.

---

<sup>2</sup> Counsel is unable to request a downward departure because of the parameters of the Plea Agreement.

The Appropriate Sentence for Mr. Murillo-Bejarano

Mr. Murillo-Bejarano has clearly shown remorse and rehabilitation. He immediately accepted responsibility for his crimes by entering a guilty plea approximately three (3) weeks after being extradited to the United States. Thus, the facts of Mr. Murillo-Bejarano's case and his personal history are clearly distinguishable from those cases that the Government cites to as reference for lengthy sentences that international cocaine traffickers have received. (Government Memo p. 23) See *United States v. Alberto Orlandez-Gamboa*, 99 Cr. 654 (TPG) (S.D.N.Y. 2005) (where numerous pre-trial motions were filed prior to the defendant's plea and sentence was imposed prior to the Supreme Court's decision in *United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220 (2005), which rendered the Sentencing Guidelines advisory); *United States v. Ramiro Lopez-Imitola*, 03 Cr. 294 (RPP) (where extensive pre-trial motions were filed, the case was prepared for trial prior to the plea, and a *Fatico* Hearing was held); *United States v. Jorge Manuel Torres Teyer*, 01 Cr. 021 (GEL) (S.D.N.Y. 2006) (where a *Fatico* Hearing was held and sentence was imposed prior to *United States v. Booker*); *United States v. Jorge Asprilla*, 99 Cr. 0101 (TPG) (S.D.N.Y. 2007) (where a *Fatico* Hearing was held and sentence was imposed prior to the Supreme Court's landmark decisions in *Gall v. United States*, 128 S. Ct. 586 (Dec. 10, 2007) and *Kimbrough v. United States*, 128 S.Ct. 558 (Dec. 10, 2007)).

Additionally, the Government's assertion that Mr. Murillo-Bejarano "has presented no evidence suggesting that he would have voluntarily terminated his drug-trafficking activities were it not for his extradition to the United States," is contradicted by the actions that the defendant has taken in recent years. (Government Memo p. 21) Mr. Murillo-Bejarano voluntarily surrendered himself to Colombian Authorities on May 27, 2005, as part of the Justice and Peace Process. Not only did the defendant demobilize all three of the Bloques that he commanded and voluntarily surrender approximately 3,000 weapons held by AUC members within those Bloques, he also funded and had AUC members take part in cocaine crop eradication efforts in Bloque Heroes de Tolova, which contained plantations used to grow cocaine. Between 2005 and 2006, Mr. Murillo-Bejarano contributed financing and tools towards these eradication projects. Moreover, as outlined in the PSR and the defendant's sentencing memorandum, Mr. Murillo-Bejarano has voluntarily surrendered approximately \$12 million dollars in property (in 47 different locations) as part of the Justice and Peace Process. The surrender of these properties demonstrates an extraordinary acceptance of responsibility by Mr. Murillo-Bejarano. Notably, once the defendant has finished serving the sentence imposed by the Court, the defendant, according to statements made by President Uribe, will be returned to Colombia to serve a sentence as part of the Justice and Peace process. If he continues to cooperate with that process, the sentence could be as low as 8 years; if he does not continue to cooperate, a maximum of 60 years may be imposed.

The history and characteristics of Mr. Murillo-Bejarano justify a sentence at lowest end of the stipulated Guidelines range. The defendant's involvement with the AUC and the defense groups in Colombia stemmed from his horrific experiences early in life with the guerillas. In his eyes, these groups were the only way to fight back against the guerillas' violent tactics and Communist agenda. One of the primary ways to finance

the operations against the guerillas, was money received in the form of taxes from narcotics. Moreover, as outlined in the defendant's sentencing memorandum, in the past few years the defendant has been involved in several large scale community projects including financing a water reservoir project and other agricultural projects some of which were a joint effort with the Office of the High Commissioner for Peace in Colombia. Mr. Murillo-Bejarano has no prior criminal convictions in the United States.

Accordingly, in consideration of the relevant factors set forth in Title 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), Mr. Murillo-Bejarano respectfully requests that the Court impose a sentence of 324 months' (27 years) imprisonment. This sentence is sufficient but not greater than necessary to meet the factors set forth in Title 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Notably, a sentence of 324 months' (27 years) will offer significant general deterrence, and the deterrent effect on other individuals in Colombia who are contemplating involvement with narcotics will be no less than the deterrence provided by a sentence of 405 months. Either sentence represents an enormous amount of prison time to be served. Therefore, the deterrent factor is strongly conveyed by a sentence of 27 years that can result with any involvement with narcotics importation and distribution. Moreover, Mr. Murillo-Bejarano, a 48-year-old man in poor health, will in all likelihood not live long enough to be released from prison. Thus, even a sentence of 324 months' imprisonment, at the lowest end of the Guidelines, is presumably a death sentence for Mr. Murillo-Bejarano.

The defendant, Diego Fernando Murillo-Bejarano, respectfully reserves the right to raise additional issues at the time of sentencing. Your Honor's attention to and consideration of this submission are greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

*Margaret M. Shalley (km)*  
Margaret M. Shalley

cc: AUSA Eric Snyder  
AUSA Anjan Sahni  
USPO Christopher T. Ferrall

**EXHIBIT 1**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-X

UNITED STATES,

Plaintiff,

**Docket # 03 CR 1188 (RMB)**

-against-

DIEGO FERNANDO MURILLO-BEJARANO,

Defendant.

-X

STATE OF NEW YORK )  
                        )  
                        ) SS.:  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK )

I, DIEGO FERNANDO MURILLO-BEJARANO, depose and say the following under the penalties of perjury:

1. I am the defendant in the above referenced matter and I am currently incarcerated at the Metropolitan Correctional Center (“MCC”) in New York, New York.

2. I make this affidavit in support of my request that the Court take into consideration, when determining the appropriate sentence, the harsh pre-sentence confinement conditions that I experienced for approximately three (3) years while in the Colombian prison system prior to my extradition to the United States.

3. I voluntarily surrendered to Colombian Authorities on May 27, 2005, in Valencia, Cordoba. At that time, I knew that the United States government was seeking my extradition.

4. On or about September 30, 2005, I was transferred to the high security Combita Prison in Tunja, Colombia.

5. I spent approximately two (2) weeks at Combita before being transferred to Itagui maximum security prison on approximately October 11, 2005, where I remained until

**AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT  
OF HARSH PRISON  
CONDITIONS IN COLOMBIA**

approximately August 24, 2007.

6. During the two years that I was incarcerated at Itagui maximum security prison, I was housed in solitary confinement behind two steel doors in a small bunker within the prison which had no outside windows.

7. The unit that I was housed in contained only one (1) other cell, in which a guerilla was incarcerated. Our cells were located next to a corridor which we were allowed access to during the day, but the corridor was comprised of cement walls approximately six (6) meters high, on top of which was a metal mesh covering. Accordingly, there was absolutely no view of the outside world, and the isolated conditions were very difficult to deal with psychologically. I became very depressed.

8. Further, although Itagui prison had running water, there was no heating system and thus my showers consisted of ice cold freezing water on a daily basis.

9. On approximately, August 24, 2007, I was transferred back to Combita prison, where I remained for approximately three (3) months. I was then transferred to La Picota maximum security prison. I was incarcerated at La Picota prison until my extradition to the United States on May 13, 2008.

10. While I was incarcerated at Combita, I was housed in solitary confinement in a corridor, which contained only four (4) other inmates. There were no outside windows, only artificial light, and the one tiny window that each cell contained, provided a view of other inmates housed in an adjacent corridor.

11. The only inmates that I had regular contact with during my time at Combita were the four (4) other inmates that were housed in my corridor, and while we were allowed to speak

to each other in the common area of the corridor during the day, the guards locked each of us in our individual cells from 5:30 pm every night until 5:30 am the next morning.

12. I experienced freezing conditions on a nightly basis due to the fact that Combita prison is located at a very high altitude and the building has no heat. The discomfort that I experienced from the extremely cold air temperature was compounded by the fact that my bed was made out of concrete and I was only provided with a very thin mildewed and soiled blanket.

13. There was little running water for myself and the other inmates at Combita, and instead of being provided the opportunity to take a traditional shower, I was forced to bathe by dumping ice cold water on myself from a can, which contained yellow water that was not clean enough for drinking.

14. Moreover, while at Combita, I was only given minimal food, which was served on filthy and unsanitary dishes. Almost every meal consisted of very small portions of rice, beans or potatoes. When the food was served, it became ice cold very quickly because of the constant frigid air temperature inside the prison.

15. While Combita allowed family visits every two (2) weeks, the conditions and rules at the prisons made visitations very difficult. Family members had to start lining up at midnight, the night before a visitation day, in order to secure a spot in line, and they had to remain outside in the frigid weather until 7:00 a.m. the next morning, when the prison started letting people in. As a result, none of my family members came to visit me while I was housed at Combita, in part because I did not want to subject them to the depressing conditions there.

16. Additionally, the prison population at Combita was comprised of a mix of individuals including paramilitary and guerilla fighters, and as a result, fights frequently broke

out between inmates. I often heard about stabbings that occurred at Combita, and I learned that at least one individual was stabbed to death during the time period that I was housed at Combita.

17. As a result of the substandard conditions that I experienced while I was at Combita, I was subjected to extraordinary stress and fear, and I often found it difficult to cope with the conditions inside the prison.

18. Similarly, while I was at La Picota prison in Bogotá for approximately six (6) months prior to my extradition, I was subjected to extremely cold temperatures, forced to sleep on a concrete bed with only one thin blanket, and bathed in frigid and dirty water on a daily basis.

19. The portions of food served at La Picota were slightly larger than those served at Combita. However, the food was served on dirty unsanitary dishes that were chipped and broken. Dysentery often resulted from the unsanitary conditions.

20. Finally, in addition to the harsh conditions that I was subjected to while in the Colombian prison system, the medical care at Combita, Itagui, and La Picota was practically nonexistent. Due to my extensive health problems and injuries, and the lack of competent medical care that was provided to me, I often experienced great discomfort and pain.

21. The extremely cold temperatures at Combita and La Picota aggravated my injuries, including the muscles surrounding the portion of my leg which was amputated, and the herniated disks in my spine caused by the bullet that is still lodged in my back. Despite all of the pain that I experienced, I was provided little, if any, pain medication.

WHEREFORE, I respectfully request that the Court take into consideration the harsh, deplorable and substandard conditions that I experienced while in the Colombian prisons of Itagui, Combita and La Picota, which provide sufficient grounds for a sentence at the low end of

the advisory guideline range in the above captioned matter, pursuant to Title 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

Dated: February 17<sup>th</sup>, 2009  
New York, New York



DIEGO FERNANDO MURILLO-BEJARANO

Sworn to Before Me This  
17<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2008

Kristin M. Lasher  
NOTARY PUBLIC

KRISTIN M. LASHER  
Notary Public, State of New York  
No. 02LA6165004  
Qualified in New York County  
Commission Expires May 7, 2011